

July 31, 2001

Mr. Mark C. Goulet Walsh, Anderson, Brown, Schulze & Aldridge, P.C. Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 2156 Austin, Texas 78768

OR2001-3317

Dear Mr. Goulet:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 150084.

The Region XX Education Service (the "service"), which you represent, received two requests for documents in the requestors' respective files. You state that you have provided the requestors with all responsive information with the exception of the submitted testing instrument and handwritten comments. You claim that the submitted testing instrument is not "public information" under section 552.027 and is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.104, and 552.110 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information. You state that you have also notified the Gallup Organization, a private firm with whom the service has a contract for the use of the testing instrument, about this request pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code.\(^1\) As of the date of this letter, we have not received any comments from the Gallup Organization.

First, we address your argument under section 552.027 of the Government Code. Section 552.027 provides:

¹Section 552.305 permits an interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released and provides that a governmental body may, but is not required to, submit its reasons why the information should be withheld or released. See also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances).

- (a) A governmental body is not required under this chapter to allow the inspection of or to provide a copy of information in a *commercial book* or publication purchased or acquired by the governmental body for research purposes if the book or publication is commercially available to the public.
- (b) Although information in a book or publication may be made available to the public as a resource material, such as a library book, a governmental body is not required to make a copy of the information in response to a request for public information.
- (c) A governmental body shall allow the inspection of information in a book or publication that is made part of, incorporated into, or referred to in a rule or policy of a governmental body.

This section is designed to alleviate the burden of providing copies of commercially available books, publications, and resource materials maintained by governmental bodies, such as telephone directories, dictionaries, encyclopedias, statutes, and periodicals. After reviewing the submitted information, we conclude that you have failed to demonstrate that the information came from the type of commercial book or publication contemplated by section 552.027. Accordingly, we conclude that the submitted document is "public information" and, therefore, subject to the Public Information Act (the "Act").

You also claim that the disclosure of the commercially developed test "would compromise the integrity of the testing and assessment process, and would violate copyright law and the confidentiality agreement entered into by [the district]." A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body, however, must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

Further, information that is subject to disclosure under the Act may not be withheld simply because the party submitting it anticipates or requests confidentiality. See Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 676-78 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Further, it is well-settled that a governmental body's promise to keep information confidential is not a basis for withholding that information from the public, unless the governmental body has specific authority to keep the information confidential. See Open Records Decision Nos. 514 at 1 (1988), 476 at 1-2 (1987), 444 at 6 (1986). Consequently, the submitted information must fall within an exception to disclosure in order to be withheld from disclosure. Therefore, we will consider the exceptions you claim.

You claim that the information is excepted under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Section 552.104 states that information is excepted from required public disclosure if release of the information would give advantage to a competitor or bidder. The purpose of this exception is to protect the interests of a governmental body usually in competitive bidding situations. See Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991). This exception protects information from public disclosure if the governmental body demonstrates potential harm to its interests in a particular competitive situation. See Open Records Decision No. 463 (1987). Section 552.104 does not except bids from public disclosure after bidding is completed and the contract has been awarded. See Open Records Decision 541 (1990). Although you state that public release would give commercial advantage to a competitor, you have not informed us that the service is involved in a particular competitive bidding situation with regard to the submitted information. Thus, we conclude that you have not demonstrated the applicability of section 552.104 to the submitted information.

You also claim that the submitted information is confidential as commercial information or a trade secret under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects the property interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (a) trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision; and (b) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. This office must accept a claim that information is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for exemption is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 (1990). However, where no demonstration of the factors necessary to establish a trade secret claim is made we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) applies. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).² In order to

²There are six factors to be assessed in determining whether information qualifies as a trade secret:

⁽¹⁾ the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company's] business;

⁽²⁾ the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;

⁽³⁾ the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;

⁽⁴⁾ the value of the information to [the company] and to [its] competitors;

⁽⁵⁾ the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing this information; and

⁽⁶⁾ the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

demonstrate the applicability of section 552.110(b), a party must make a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. Gov't Code § 552.110(b); see also National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974). The service, however, has not demonstrated the factors necessary to establish a trade secret or made a showing that release of the submitted information would cause substantial competitive injury. Thus, the service has failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.110 of the Government Code. Consequently, the service must release the submitted information.

In conclusion, we find that the submitted information is not the type of information contemplated by section 552.027 of the Government Code. Further, copyright laws and the confidentiality agreement do not except the submitted information from required disclosure. Having found that you failed to demonstrate the applicability of your asserted exceptions, we conclude that you must allow the requestors access to the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. Id. § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Jennifer H. Bialek

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Jemila Biala

JHB/sdk

Ref: ID# 150084

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Penny Sitka 5622 Evers, #2801 San Antonio, Texas 78238 (w/o enclosures)

> Mr. Sergio Alvarado 11500 Huebner, # 207 San Antonio, Texas 78230 (w/o enclosures)

Gallup Organization, Inc. c/o Mr. Mark C. Goulet
Walsh, Anderson, Brown, Schulze & Aldridge, P.C. Attorneys at Law
P.O. Box 2156
Austin, Texas 78768
(w/o enclosures)